

Chalgrove NDP Consultation Statement Appendix D

Appendix D

Responses from Sustainability Appraisal scoping report August 2015

Commenter	Comment	Response
<p>Mary Tomlinson BSc (Hons) MSc Lead Adviser Sustainable Development and Regulation Thames Valley Team</p>	<p>The scoping report looks comprehensive, however I would advise adding in about preserving Best and Most Versatile (BMV) agricultural land in line with the NPPF, and also adding in consideration of the Chilterns AONB with is approx. 3km from the boundary of the Parish and therefore the Parish may be within the setting of the AONB depending on the visual envelope (i.e. what you can see from within the AONB).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites 2, 3, 4 and 5 are classified as moderate natural fertility, and sites 1,6,7,8,9,10 and 11 are classified as low natural fertility. None of the sites are classified as High natural fertility • Views into and out of the village have been taken into account and are shown on the 'Chalgrove Views' map included in the Plan Document
<p>Mr David Griggs Planning Advisor Environment Agency, Wallingford</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flood Zones 3 and 2, associated with the main rivers. • Sequential Test - Any policies that allocate development within areas of flood risk will need to be supported by demonstration that the Sequential Test, and if appropriate the Exception Test, have been passed. • The Chalgrove and Haseley Brooks, at 'moderate' Ecological Status under the Water Framework Directive (WFD). • Chalgrove Airfield has a high risk of being potentially affected by contamination from previous uses, which pose a risk of pollution to the Summertown-Radley Sand and Gravel Member, designated a Secondary A Aquifer, below the site. • We recommend that SA objective 6 is revised to refer specifically to 'sustainable urban drainage systems'. • In order to ensure that development is directed towards the areas of lowest flood risk, we advise that the neighbourhood planning body use the map provided, showing the implications of the recent flood modelling, to inform the sequential testing of sites. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Developers of sites 1,10 and 11 amended site plan to build only in flood zone 1 • Sustainability Objective 7. Conserve and enhance the water environment • SA Objective 6 amended accordingly • The revised flood map was used in the amendment of the site plan by the developers of sites 110 and 11. CNDP appointed Edenvale Young to undertake further modelling of areas of the village that were not remodelled for the production of the revised map to ensure that all sites were measured using the same methodology • Flooding supporting statement included in the Plan document

Chalgrove NDP Consultation Statement Appendix D

Commenter	Comment	Response
<p>Robert Lloyd-Sweet Historic Places Adviser (South East England) Historic England Guildford</p>	<p>We note that whilst the evidence base records the presence of the memorial to the Civil War battle of Chalgrove as a part of the village’s heritage, it has not identified the Register Battlefield itself as a site of historic interest. This is classified as a designated heritage asset and as such proposals affecting it should be considered according to the guidance set out in paragraphs 132-143 and 136 of the NPPF in particular. The area of the Registered Battlefield can be viewed via the National Heritage List website at: http://list.historicengland.org.uk/mapsearch.aspx . This should be considered in relation to the suitability of sites 9 and 7 for allocation in particular.</p> <p>We would also suggest giving a little further consideration to the archaeological implications of the area’s recorded past and features. The well-described evidence of the hoard of Roman coins might also suggest the potential for other evidence of activity of similar date in the area, which may require investigation prior to the determination of applications for development. Likewise the evidence of the well-preserved medieval remains of Chalgrove may suggest other sites in the village have potential to reveal further information about the village’s past that should be recorded, at the least, prior to development. We would recommend working closely with the County Council Archaeological Service to develop a policy to manage the impact of future development on the area’s archaeological resource, as well as exploring opportunities to reveal its history where interventions are deemed to be justified.</p> <p>I’m happy to express our support for the site assessment criteria, although I would suggest adding to those addressing the potential impact of development of these sites on heritage to include the ‘setting’ of heritage assets (both designated and of local significance) as a consideration to align with national policy.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Battlefield is identified as a Registered Battlefield in the Character Assessment document. The finds of the Roman Hoard and Mediaeval Moated Manor are also documented. • Listed buildings and heritage assets are listed in the Character Assessment • SA Objective 16. Conserve and enhance the heritage of Chalgrove, including archaeological heritage • Heritage Assets and Archaeological Sites Supporting Statements included in the Plan document